

# FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



## School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

**PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS**

**School Information**

School Name: St. Augustine High	District Name: St. Johns County
Principal: Cathy Mittelstadt	Superintendent: Dr. Joseph Joyner
SAC Chair: Colby Wright and Lauren Spatola	Date of School Board Approval:

**Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:**

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

[School Grades Trend Data](#) (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

[Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test \(FCAT\)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data](#) (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

[High School Feedback Report](#)

[K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan](#)

**Administrators**

List your school’s administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Cathy Mittelstadt	BA – Education, UNC Chapel Hill MEd – University of Central Florida Ed.S – Nova University	3	14	St. Augustine High School 2010-2011 Grade: B Reading Mastery: 59%, Math Mastery 80%, Science Mastery: 46% Learning Gains: Reading - 55%, Math - 74% Lowest 25% LG: Reading - 47%, Math- 63% AYP: 79% St. Augustine High School 2009-2010 Grade: B Reading Mastery: 55%, Math Mastery 80%, Science Mastery: 46% Learning Gains: Reading - 53%, Math -

August 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					<p>79%                  Lowest 25% LG: Reading - 40%, Math- 67%                  AYP: 79%</p> <p>St. Augustine High School                  2008-2009 Grade: A                  Reading Mastery: 51%, Math Master: 78%,                  Science Mastery: 51%                  Learning Gains Reading:54% Math 82%                  Lowest 25% LG Reading: 47% Math 74%                  AYP: 79%</p> <p>Murray Middle School                  2007-2008 Grade: A                  Reading Mastery: 71%, Math Mastery:                  65%, Science Mastery: 51%                  AYP: 32%</p>
Assistant Principal	George Mastoridis	BA - Education, Flagler College MEdL - University of North Florida	5	11	<p>St. Augustine High School                  2010-2011 Grade: B                  Reading Mastery: 59%, Math Mastery 80%, Science Mastery: 46%                  Learning Gains: Reading - 55%, Math - 74%                  Lowest 25% LG: Reading - 47%, Math- 63%                  AYP: 79%</p> <p>St. Augustine High School                  2009-2010 Grade: B                  Reading Mastery: 55%, Math Mastery 80%,                  Science Mastery: 46%                  Learning Gains: Reading - 53%, Math -79%                  Lowest 25% LG: Reading - 40%, Math-67%                  AYP: 79%</p>
Assistant Principal	Kristin Bozeman	BA - English, University of FL Masters- English, University of North Florida	1	3	<p>St. Augustine High School                  2010-2011 Grade: B                  Reading Mastery: 59%, Math Mastery 80%, Science Mastery: 46%                  Learning Gains: Reading - 55%, Math - 74%                  Lowest 25% LG: Reading - 47%, Math- 63%                  AYP: 79%</p>

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

### Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Instructional Literacy Coach	Donna McCarthy-Jensen	MeD – University of Florida Certifications: ESOL (K-12), Reading Endorsement, Specific Learning Disabilities (K-12), Mentally Handicapped (K-12)	6	0	

### Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. Utilization of district PATS program	Principal	Upon Posting
2. Mentoring Program	Select Faculty/Staff	End of 1 <sup>st</sup> year
3. Focus on providing rigorous and relevant program	Administration	Ongoing
4. Provide quality staff development through personal learning communities	Administration, Instructional Literacy Coach, Department Chairs	Ongoing

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

### *Non-Highly Effective Instructors*

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
7	Working with the Instructional Literacy Coach to implement reading strategies.

### *Staff Demographics*

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first-year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
94	4% (4)	26% (24)	21% (20)	49% (46)	43% (40)	96%	16% (15)	4% (4)	14% (13)

### *Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan*

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentee Assigned	Mentor Name	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Caitlin Likens	Cheree Brennan	English Department Colleagues	New teacher training, monthly meetings, common planning opportunities
Lea Galloza	Scott Benyacko	English Department Colleagues	New teacher training, monthly meetings, common planning opportunities
Ashley Banks	Tracy Kennen	ESE Department Colleagues	New teacher training, monthly meetings, common planning

August 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

			opportunities
Parker Eckoff	Hazel McCall	ESE Department Colleagues	New teacher training, monthly meetings, common planning opportunities
Gary Wilson	Kate Keating	Math Department Colleagues	New teacher training, monthly meetings, common planning opportunities
MaryKatherine Boss	Michelle Wamser	Math Department Colleagues	New teacher training, monthly meetings, common planning opportunities
Heather Taylor	Linda Herrera	English Department Colleagues	New teacher training, monthly meetings, common planning opportunities
Heather Naughton	Judy Ashley	English Department Colleagues	New teacher training, monthly meetings, common planning opportunities
Rebecca Booher	Adam Ringwood	ESE Department Colleagues	New teacher training, monthly meetings, common planning opportunities
Jennifer Whalen	Kendra Eaton	ESE Department Colleagues	New teacher training, monthly meetings, common planning opportunities
Betsy Fishburne	Debbie O' Steen	ESE Department Colleagues	New teacher training, monthly meetings, common planning opportunities
Warren McCray	Gail Cullum	Department Colleagues	New teacher training, monthly meetings, common planning opportunities
Brian Schoonover	Billy Richardson	Department Colleagues	New teacher training, monthly meetings, common planning opportunities

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Additional Requirements**

***Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only***

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

---

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

***Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)***



## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

### School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal (Cathy Mittelstadt): The principal provided a common vision for the School Success Team by structuring the use of data-based decision-making and ensuring that the school-based team is implementing MTSS/RtI. Regular communication with parents regarding our plans and activities will take place through the school website, newsletter, and School Advisory Council meetings.

Attendance Dean (Wanda Logan): The Dean of attendance uses several methods to promote and encourage student attendance. She works hand-in-hand with the Principal, district office, sheriff's department, and parents to monitor data collection methods.

The Coordinator of Intervention Services (Lorna Kirkham): is the liaison between the School District and St. Augustine High School in verifying compliance and effective implementation of the Correct II Differentiated Accountability Plan.

Student Services Personnel (Amy Arnow): Student services primary role is to make sure students have a safe home life in order they may focus on school.

Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Coordinator (George Mastoridis): This coordinator will maintain the procedural safeguards required by law with respect to students, staffing, and IEPs.

Guidance Department Chair (Ginger Freemann): Academic coursework begins and ends with Guidance Department. As department chair, she works closely with academic department chairs to schedule student's classes designed to develop successful habits. Additionally, the Guidance Department is instrumental with parent communication through the primary method of conferences, as well as the critical link of personal communication between guidance counselor and student.

The Career Specialist (Joan Salzberg): is responsible for developing, maintain, and growing the Career Academies. She works closely with guidance and students in creating schedules that are conducive to a college track, including dual-enrollment through St. Johns River Community College.

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The MTSS team will meet every Monday at 9:30 to focus on executing the mission of "making every day count." Meeting agendas will include the review of student performance data and attendance. The Team will identify students who are at moderate or high risk for not meeting benchmarks, as well as those who have reached or exceeded benchmarks. Using this information, the team will design intervention to meet the immediate need of the student.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS team will support the SIP as designed by input from all school stakeholders. During Pre Plan Department meetings teachers revised student performance data and collaboratively worked together to develop the School Improvement Plan. Individual team members contribute data on level 1 and level 2 students, all AYP subgroups, and action steps that will be used to target at-risk students. Throughout the year, the team will make sure that goals set throughout the plan are properly implemented and adjustments will be made if necessary.

### MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline Data: Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), Algebra 1 EOC, Geometry EOC, Biology EOC

Progress Monitoring: Discovery Education, MAZE for fluency

End of Year: Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), Algebra 1 EOC, Geometry EOC, Biology EOC

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The MTSS team was trained during pre-plan by staff from the district office. Roles and expectations of team members will be reviewed weekly at the team meetings.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The Leadership team at St. Augustine High will support the MTSS initiatives

**August 2012**

**Rule 6A-1.099811**

**Revised April 29, 2011**

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

### *Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)*

#### School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The school Literacy Leadership Team will be led by the Instructional Literacy Coach, Donna McCarthy-Jensen. All Intensive reading teachers, as well as representative from each department will be active members and attend all meetings. The literacy strategies will focus on helping students interact with new knowledge, helping students practice and deepen new knowledge, and helping students generate and test hypotheses.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT will meet once a month on Wednesday mornings during the school-wide designated PLCs. Information, data, and strategies discussed at the meetings will then be taken back to departments via the representatives during scheduled department meetings.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The LLT will focus on implementing WICOR (Writing, Inquiry Based Instruction, Collaboration, Organization and Reading) school-wide. Specifically, they will design and implement staff training so all teachers, despite the content area, can implement literacy strategies through the curriculum.

### *Public School Choice*

- **Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification**

*Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.*

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

### *\*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition*

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

### *\*Grades 6-12 Only* Sec. 1003.413 (2) (b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

All teachers are responsible for following their content area pacing guide/calendars. Each week, lessons must include instruction based on the designated skill. Every course, despite the content area, requires the reading of course texts. For this reason, all teachers must provide opportunities for students to learn the language and comprehend required materials.

### *\*High Schools Only*

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

In conjunction with the five Career Academies at our school, learning cohorts have been established to create relevance to students' future goals and to allow collaborative units to highlight the many relationships between content areas. Multiple electives will be offered to students not in an academy, including an executive externship option, allowing students to receive credit while out in the workforce. In addition, professional learning communities will focus on the creation of engaging learning experiences to provide learning opportunities in a real-world context. Lesson plans will be shared among faculty through a network folder, allowing shared access and the ability to align content goals. Many students will receive reading remediation through the content area. We currently have English teachers and a World History teacher offering this integrated course.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Students play an active role in creating a schedule that aligns to individual academic and career goals. Each student is required to meet with his/her designated counselor to ensure these decisions are the most conducive to reaching personal goals. Joan Salzberg, the Career Specialist, also plays an active role in creating schedules for students involved in the career academies, including dual-enrollment options.

### *Postsecondary Transition*

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the [High School Feedback Report](#).

According to the 2007 High School Feedback Report, 70.1% of students completed a college prep curriculum as compared to the 57.5% district and 59.6% state percentages. More recent data is not available. The school dropout rate as of October 2009 is 2.02%. Students who typically exceed standards will be encouraged to participate in the AICE program or take advantage of Dual Enrollment and Advance Placement opportunities. The Students Services department will continuously share information regarding Bright Futures and other available

**August 2012**

**Rule 6A-1.099811**

**Revised April 29, 2011**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

scholarships.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS**

**Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Reading Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.</b>			1A.1. Large percentage of low achieving students. All subgroups are not making AYP.	1A.1. School-wide implementation of district content area calendars (pacing guides)	1A.1. Instructional Literacy Coach	1A.1. Progress monitoring tools, class assessments, End of Quarter Exams	1A.1.FCAT, Performance Plus
Reading Goal #1A:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
<i>St. Augustine High will increase the percentage of students achieving proficiency in Reading by 2%</i>	25 %(205)	27 %	1A.2. Large percentage of low achieving students. All subgroups are not making AYP.	1A.2. Jacket Read of the Week (extended 4 <sup>th</sup> period articles)	1A.2. Instructional Literacy Coach, all departments	1A.2. Progress monitoring tools	1A.2. FCAT
			1A.3. Large percentage of low achieving students. All subgroups are not making AYP.	1A.3. After-school FCAT prep/tutoring program	1A.3. Instructional Literacy Coach	1A.3. Progress monitoring tools	1A.3. FCAT, Performance Plus
			1A.4. Large percentage of low achieving students. All subgroups are not making AYP.	1A.4. 90-minute Intensive Reading classes using Read 180.	1A.4. Classroom teachers and Instructional Literacy Coach	1A.4. Discovery Education, MAZE (fluency)	1A.4. FCAT, Performance Plus
			1A.5. Large percentage of low achieving students. All subgroups are not making AYP.	1A.5. 45-minute Intensive Reading classes using Book Jam and high interest novels	1A.5. Classroom teachers and Instructional Literacy Coach	1A.5. Discovery Education, MAZE (fluency)	1A.5. FCAT, Performance Plus
			1A.6. Large percentage of low achieving students. All subgroups are not making AYP.	1A.6. Focus on content area vocabulary instruction	1A.6. Content Area teachers	1A.6. Classroom assessments	1A.6. FCAT, Performance Plus
			1A.7. SWD subgroup not making learning gains.	1A.7. SRA, Scripted Reading	1A.7. ESE Teachers	1A.7. Discovery Education, MAZE (fluency)	1A.7. FCAT, Performance Plus
			1A.8. Large percentage of low achieving students. All subgroups are not making AYP.	1A.8. Use of technology and visual aids in instruction (SMART Slate, ELMO, PS Clickers)	1A.8. Content area teachers	1A.8. Classroom assessments and progress monitoring tools	1A.8. FCAT, Performance Plus
			1A.9. Large percentage of low achieving students. All subgroups are not making AYP.	1A.9. Enrollment in AVID Program	1A.9. AVID Instructor, AVID content teachers	1A.9. Classroom assessment, and progress monitoring tools	1A.9. FCAT, Performance Plus

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

			1A.10. Students lack interest in reading	1A.10. Teacher-driven literacy initiatives and high interest novels/text	1A.10. Instructional Literacy Coach, Reading and English teachers	1A.10. Discovery Education, MAZE (fluency)	1A.10. FCAT, Performance Plus
<b>1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.</b>							
<b>Reading Goal #1B:</b>  <i>St. Augustine high will increase the percentage of students scoring at levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading by 2%</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>	1B.1 Lack of student interest/motivation during actual testing period	1B.1 Practice for alternative assessment using curriculum with similar presentation	1B.1 I.D. / Self - contained team	1B.1 Classroom Assessments, Interest Driven materials, real world application	1B.1 Florida Alternate Assessment
	75 % (6)	77 %					
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 in reading.</b>			2A.1. Low performance on research-based questions	2A.1. Content area focus on WICOR strategies	2A.1. Content area teachers	2A.1. Classroom assessments	2A.1. FCAT, Performance Plus
<b>Reading Goal #2A:</b>  <i>St. Augustine High will increase the percentage of students scoring above proficiency by 2 %.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	37% (306)	39%					
			2A.2. Difficult content area vocabulary	2A.2. Focus on explicit content-area vocabulary instruction	2A.2. Content area teachers	2A.2. Classroom assessments	2A.2. FCAT, Performance Plus
			2A.3. Diverse learning needs	2A.3. Use of technology and visual aids (SMART Slates, ELMOS, CPS Clickers)	2A.3. Content area teachers	2A.3. Classroom assessments and progress monitoring tools	2A.3. FCAT, Performance Plus
<b>2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.</b>							
<b>Reading Goal #2B:</b>  <i>St. Augustine High will increase the percentage of students scoring at or above level 7 in reading by 2%.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>	2B.1 Lack of student interest/ motivation during actual testing period	2B.1 Practice for alternative assessment using curriculum with similar presentation	2B.1 I.D. / Self - contained team	2B.1 Classroom Assessments, Interest Driven materials, real world application	2B.1 Florida Alternate Assessment
	0% (0)	2%					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.</b>			3A.1. All subgroups not making AYP	3A.1. During-school FCAT prep/tutoring program	3A.1. Instructional Literacy Coach	3A.1. Progress monitoring tools	3A.1. FCAT, Performance Plus
<b>Reading Goal #3A:</b>  <i>St. Augustine High will increase the percentage of students making learning gains in reading by 2%.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>  <b>64%</b>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>  <b>66%</b>					
			3A.2. All subgroups not making AYP	3A.2. Use of technology and visual aids (SMART Slates, ELMOS, CPS Clickers)	3A.2. Content area teachers	3A.2. Classroom assessment and progress monitoring tools	3A.2. FCAT, Performance Plus
			3A.3. All subgroups not making AYP	3A.3. Focus on explicit content area vocabulary instruction	3A.3. Content area teachers	3A.3. Classroom assessment	3A.3. FCAT, Performance Plus
			3A.4. All subgroups not making AYP	3A.4. ACT/SAT tutoring	3A.4. Instructional Literacy Coach	3A.4. Test scores	3A.4. ACT, SAT
<b>3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.</b>							
<b>Reading Goal #3B:</b>  <i>St. Augustine High will increase the percentage of students making learning gains in reading by 2%.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>  <b>59% (7)</b>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>  <b>61%</b>	3B.1 Lack of student interest/ motivation during actual testing period	3B.1 Practice for alternative assessment using curriculum with similar presentation	3B.1 I.D. / Self - contained team	3B.1 Classroom Assessments, Interest Driven materials, real world application	3B.1 Florida Alternate Assessment
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.



## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.</b>			4A.1. Low motivation and self confidence	4A.1. Jacket read of the Week (extended 4 <sup>th</sup> period reading)	4A.1. Instructional Literacy Coach, all departments	4A.1. Progress monitoring tools	4A.1. FCAT, Performance Plus
<b>Reading Goal #4:</b>  <i>St. Augustine High will increase the percentage of students in the lowest 25% making learning gains in reading by 2%.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>  <b>56%</b>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>  <b>58%</b>					
			4A.2. Low motivation	4A.2. during-school FCAT prep/tutoring	4A.2. Instructional Literacy Coach	4A.2. Progress monitoring tools	4A.2. FCAT, Performance Plus
			4A.3. Large percentage of low achieving students need intensive reading instruction	4A.3. 90-minute Intensive reading classes for Level 1 students using Read 180	4A.3. Intensive Reading teachers	4A.3. program material, progress monitoring tools	4A.3. FCAT, Performance Plus
			4A.4. Large percentage of SWD in lowest 25%	4A.4. Scripted reading, SRA	4A.4. Intensive Reading/ESE teachers	4A.4. Program materials, progress monitoring tools	4A.4. FCAT, Performance Plus
			4A.5. Large range of diverse needs	4A.5. Use of technology and visual aids (SMART Slates, ELMOS, CPS Clickers)	4A.5. Intensive Reading/ESE teachers	4A.5. Program materials, progress monitoring tools	4A.5. FCAT, Performance Plus

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
<b>5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b>	<b>Baseline data 2010-2011</b> 48%	<b>44%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>24%</b>
Reading Goal #5A: <i>St. Augustine High will reduce their achievement gap by at least 4% each year until the year 2016 – 2017.</i>							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>		5B.1. All subgroups are not making AYP	5B.1. School-wide implementation of WICOR strategies (SuCCeSS Teams, which are integrated Common Core State Standards)	5B.1. Content area teachers/Instructional Literacy Coach	5B.1. Progress monitoring tools, classroom assessment,	5B.1. FCAT, Performance Plus	
Reading Goal #5B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
<i>St. Augustine High will increase to the benchmark of 92% in reading for each subgroup.</i>	PENDING STATE PROVIDED DATA	<b>92% of Black &amp; White students will make AYP.</b>					
			5B.2. Need of intensive reading instruction	5B.2. 45 and 90-minute Intensive Reading classes using research-based programs	5B.2. Intensive Reading teachers/Instructional Literacy Coach	5B.2. Progress monitoring tools, program materials	5B.2. FCAT, Performance Plus

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>			5C.1. Language barriers	5C.1. Rosetta Stone pull-outs/Language!	5C.1. Intensive reading teachers/Instructional Literacy Coach	5C.1. Program materials/MAZE, FAIR	5C.1. FCAT/Performance Plus
Reading Goal #5C:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
<i>St. Augustine High will increase to the benchmark of 92% in reading for ELL.</i>	PENDING STATE PROVIDED DATA	92% of ELL will make AYP					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>			5D.1. Large subgroup population	5D.1. Scripted Reading	5D.1. ESE teachers	5D.1. Program materials and resources	5D.1. FCAT, Performance Plus
Reading Goal #5D:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
<i>St. Augustine High will increase to the benchmark of 92% in reading for SWD.</i>	PENDING STATE PROVIDED DATA	92% of SWD will make AYP.					

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>			5E.1. Low motivation and support	5E.1. Most Valuable Jacket/Punctuality Pays	5E.1. Instructional Literacy Coach	5E.1. Progress monitoring, conferencing	5E.1. FCAT, Performance Plus
<b>Reading Goal #5E:</b>  <b>St. Augustine High will increase to the benchmark of 92% in reading for ED students.</b>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	PENDING STATE PROVIDED DATA	92% of ED students will make AYP					
			5E.2. Low motivation and support	5E.2. Jacket Writing Project (weekly reading and writing group using high-interest literature)	5E.2. Instructional Literacy Coach	5E.2. Conferencing, progress monitoring tools	5E.2. FCAT, Performance Plus
			5E.3. Low motivation and support	5E.3. After-school FCAT prep/tutoring program	5E.3. Instructional Literacy Coach	5E.3. Progress monitoring tools	5E.3. FCAT, Performance Plus
			5E.4. Low motivation and support	5E.4. Enrollment in AVID Program	5E.4. AVID Instructor and Site team	5E.4. Classroom assessment and progress monitoring tools	5E.4 FCAT, Performance Plus

### Reading Professional Development

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities</b>						
Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Advancement via Individual Determination (AVID)	Grades 9 - 11	Lauren Spatola	Avid Teachers	Monthly	Progress Monitoring/ data analysis	Lauren Spatola
WICOR	ALL	Donna McCarthy-Jensen	School-Wide	Monthly	Progress Monitoring/ data analysis	Donna McCarthy-Jensen

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

### Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.

#### Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Program to target middle to low achieving students	AVID: Research-based program	District/ Administrative budget	\$13,400.00
Before/After School FCAT prep and tutoring program	Teacher stipend and materials	Administrative/ SAC	\$6,000.00
Various PLC's anchored to SIP	Research based	Administrative/ SAC	\$1,000.00

**Subtotal: \$20,400.00**

#### Technology

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Interactive Learning	SMART slates hand-held devices	Administrative	\$7,000.00
Visual Aids	Mounted LCDs	Administrative	\$22,000.00
Visual Aids	ELMOs	Administrative	\$4,000.00

**Subtotal: \$33,000.00**

#### Professional Development

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
AVID teacher training	Teacher workshops	School Based Budget	\$1,500.00
Wednesday Morning PLCs	Materials	Administrative	\$500.00

**Subtotal: \$2,000.00**

#### Other

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Identify and provide additional assistance for Black, Economically Disadvantaged, and SWD subgroups to ensure AYP.	Before/After school FCAT/EOC prep and tutoring program	Pending Grant Approval	\$2,500.00
Incentive Programs	Refreshments and Supplies	Administrative	\$400.00
Reading Teacher	Additional Instructors	SAI	\$65,000.00
Jacket Writing Project	Books and Supplies	SAC	\$150.00

**Subtotal: \$68,050.00**

**Total: \$123,450.00**

### *End of Reading Goals*

**August 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition				
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.</b>		1.1. Language	1.1. Language!	1.1.Intensive Reading Teachers, Instructional Literacy Coach	1.1. Language! Program materials, FAIR	1.1. FCAT, Performance Plus
CELLA Goal #1: <i>56% of students will score proficient in listening/Speaking.</i>	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
	<i>54% (7)</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read grade-level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2. Students scoring proficient in reading.</b>		2.1. Language	2.1. Language!	2.1.Intensive Reading Teachers, Instructional Literacy Coach	2.1. Language! Program materials, FAIR	2.1. FCAT, Performance Plus
CELLA Goal #2: <i>17% of students will score proficient in reading.</i>	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:					
	<i>15% (2)</i>					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3. Students scoring proficient in writing.</b>		3.1. Language	3.1. Language!	3.1.Intensive Reading Teachers, Instructional Literacy Coach	3.1. Language! Program materials, FAIR	3.1. FCAT, Performance Plus
<b>CELLA Goal #3:</b>  <b>35% of students will score proficient in writing.</b>	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :					
	<b>33% (4)</b>					
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**CELLA Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of CELLA Goals*



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Mathematics Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.</b>							
Mathematics Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	1.1 Lack of student interest/ motivation during actual testing period	1.1 Practice for alternative assessment using curriculum with similar presentation	1.1 I.D. / Self - contained team	1.1 Classroom Assessments, Interest Driven materials, real world application	1.1 Florida Alternate Assessment
<i>St. Augustine High will increase the percentage of students scoring at levels 4, 5, and 6 by 2%.</i>	57% (4)	59%					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.</b>							
Mathematics Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	2.1 Lack of student interest/ motivation during actual testing period	2.1 Practice for alternative assessment using curriculum with similar presentation	2.1 I.D. / Self - contained team	2.1 Classroom Assessments, Interest Driven materials, real world application	2.1 Florida Alternate Assessment
<i>St. Augustine High will increase the percentage of students scoring at or above level 7 by 2%.</i>	0% (0)	2%					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.</b>							
Mathematics Goal #3:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	3.1 Lack of student interest/ motivation during actual testing period	3.1 Practice for alternative assessment using curriculum with similar presentation	3.1 I.D. / Self - contained team	3.1 Classroom Assessments, Interest Driven materials, real world application	3.1 Florida Alternate Assessment
<i>St. Augustine High will increase the percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics by 2%.</i>	60% (7)	62%					
			3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.
			3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.

*End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals** *(this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)*

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra 1 EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement								
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1.</b>			1.1. Large percentage of low achieving subgroups. Not all subgroups are making AYP.	1.1. Discovery Education progress monitoring practice tests	1.1. Department Chair	1.1. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	1.1. Algebra 1 EOC, Performance Plus				
Algebra 1 Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*									
<i>St. Augustine High will increase the percentage of students scoring at achievement level by 2%.</i>	52% (184)	54%									
	1.2. Large percentage of low achieving subgroups. Not all subgroups are making AYP.							1.2. Intensive Math classes for low achieving students to focus on mastery of basic skills	1.2. Department Chair	1.2. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	1.2. Algebra 1 EOC, Performance Plus
	1.3. Large percentage of low achieving subgroups. Not all subgroups are making AYP.							1.3. Before/After school tutoring and test prep program	1.3. Department Chair	1.3. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	1.3. Algebra 1 EOC, Performance Plus
	1.4. Large percentage of low achieving subgroups. Not all subgroups are making AYP.							1.4. Use of technology and visual aids in instruction (smart slate, elmo).	1.4. content area teachers	1.4. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	1.4. Algebra 1 EOC, Performance Plus
	1.5. Large percentage of low achieving subgroups. Not all subgroups are making AYP.							1.5. Use of district wide pacing guide and common assessment	1.5. Department Chair	1.5. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	1.5. Algebra 1 EOC, Performance Plus
	1.6. Large percentage of low achieving subgroups. Not all subgroups are making AYP.		1.6. Focus on content area vocabulary instruction	1.6. content area teachers	1.6. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	1.6. Algebra 1 EOC, Performance Plus					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
<b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra 1.</b>			2.1. Student readiness for increased level of expectations	2.1. Enrollment of the midlevel students into avid honors program to push students from midlevel to high levels of achievement	2.1. Avid Instructor	2.1. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	2.1. Algebra 1 EOC, Performance Plus				
Algebra Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*									
<i>St. Augustine High will increase the percentage of</i>	12% (42)	14%									

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<i>students scoring above achievement level by 2%.</i>		2.2. Diverse learning needs and styles	2.2. Use of technology and visual aids in instruction (smart slate, elmo).	2.2. content area teacher	2.2. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	2.2. Algebra 1 EOC, Performance Plus
		2.3. Diverse needs and areas of individual weakness	2.3. Discovery Education progress monitoring program to detect and monitor student weaknesses	2.3. Department Chair	2.3. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	2.3. Algebra 1 EOC, Performance Plus

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
<b>3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b>	<b>Baseline data 2010-2011</b>  27%	<b>25%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>14%</b>
Algebra 1 Goal #3A:  <i>St. Augustine High will reduce their achievement gap by at least 2% each year until the year 2016 – 2017.</i>							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.</b>	3B.1. Large percentage of low achieving subgroups. Not all subgroups are making AYP.	3B.1. Yellow Jacket Sting Mentoring Program	3B.1. Administration/ Deans	3B.1. Classroom Assessment, mentor meetings, Progress Monitoring Tools	3B.1. Algebra 1 EOC, Performance Plus		
Algebra 1 Goal #3B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
<i>St. Augustine High will increase to the benchmark of 92% in math for each subgroup.</i>	PENDING STATE PROVIDED DATA	<b>92% of White &amp; Black students will make AYP.</b>					
		3B.2. Large percentage of low achieving subgroups. Not all subgroups are making AYP.  Lack of confidence and motivation	3B.2. Intensive Math classes for low achieving students to focus on mastery of basic skills	3B.2. Department Chair	3B.2. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	3B.2. Algebra 1 EOC, Performance Plus	
		3B.3. Large percentage of low achieving subgroups. Not all subgroups are making AYP.  Lack of confidence and motivation	3B.3. Before/After school tutoring and test prep program	3B.3. Department Chair	3B.3. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	3B.3. Algebra 1 EOC, Performance Plus	

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.</b>			3C.1. Language	3C.1. Use of technology and visual aids in instruction (smart slate, elmo).	3C.1. Department Chair	3C.1. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	3C.1. Algebra 1 EOC, Performance Plus
Algebra 1 Goal #3C:  <i>St. Augustine High will increase to the benchmark of 92% in math for ELL.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	PENDING STATE PROVIDED DATA	92% of ELL will make AYP.					
			3C.2. Language	3C.2. Focus on content area vocabulary	3C.2. Department Chair, content area teachers	3C.2. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	3C.2. Algebra 1 EOC, Performance Plus
			3C.3. Language	3C.3. Use of multilingual textbook resources	3C.3. Content Area Teachers	3C.3. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	3C.3. Algebra 1 EOC, Performance Plus
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.</b>			3D.1. Diverse needs and learning styles.	3D.1. Use of technology and visual aids in instruction (smart slate, elmo).	3D.1. Department Chair, Content Area Teachers	3D.1. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	3D.1. Algebra 1 EOC, Performance Plus
Algebra 1 Goal #3D:  <i>St. Augustine High will increase to the benchmark of 92% in math for SWD.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	PENDING STATE PROVIDED DATA	92% of SWD will make AYP.					
			3D.2. Lack of confidence and motivation	3D.2. Discovery Education progress monitoring practice test	3D.2. Department Chair, Content Area Teachers	3D.2. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	3D.2. Algebra 1 EOC, Performance Plus
			3D.3. Inability to master skills at rate equal to peers	3D.3. Increased record tracking and progress monitoring kept in a portfolio	3D.3. Department Chair, Content Area Teacher, ESE teachers	3D.3. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	3D.3. Algebra 1 EOC, Performance Plus

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.</b>			3E.1. Low motivation and support	3E.1. Most Valuable Jacket/Punctuality Pays	3E.1. Content Area Teachers, Deans	3E.1. Progress monitoring, conferencing	3E.1. Algebra 1 EOC, Performance Plus
Algebra 1 Goal #3E:  <i>St. Augustine High will increase to the benchmark of 92% in math for ED students.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	PENDING STATE PROVIDED DATA	92% of ED students will make AYP.					
			3E.2. Low motivation and support	3E.2. Enrollment in AVID Program	3E.2. AVID Instructor and Site team	3E.2. Classroom assessment and progress monitoring tools	3E.2 Algebra 1 EOC, Performance Plus
			3E.3. Low motivation and support	3E.3. After-school EOC prep/tutoring program	3E.3. Department Chair, Content Area Teachers	3E.3. Progress monitoring tools	3E.3. Algebra 1 EOC, Performance Plus

*End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Geometry End-of-Course Goals** *(this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)*

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement								
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.</b>			1.1. Large percentage of low achieving subgroups. Not all subgroups are making AYP.	1.1. Discovery Education progress monitoring practice tests	1.1. Department Chair	1.1. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	1.1. Geometry EOC, Performance Plus				
Geometry Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*									
<i>St. Augustine High will increase the percentage of students scoring at achievement level by 2%.</i>	N/A	N/A									
	1.2. Large percentage of low achieving subgroups. Not all subgroups are making AYP.							1.2. Intensive Math classes for low achieving students to focus on mastery of basic skills	1.2. Department Chair	1.2. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	1.2. Geometry EOC, Performance Plus
	1.3. Large percentage of low achieving subgroups. Not all subgroups are making AYP.							1.3. Before/After school tutoring and test prep program	1.3. Department Chair	1.3. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	1.3. Geometry EOC, Performance Plus
	1.4. Large percentage of low achieving subgroups. Not all subgroups are making AYP.							1.4. Use of technology and visual aids in instruction (smart slate, elmo).	1.4. content area teachers	1.4. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	1.4. Geometry EOC, Performance Plus
	1.5. Large percentage of low achieving subgroups. Not all subgroups are making AYP.							1.5. Use of district wide pacing guide and common assessment	1.5. Department Chair	1.5. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	1.5. Geometry EOC, Performance Plus
	1.6. Large percentage of low achieving subgroups. Not all subgroups are making AYP.		1.6. Focus on content area vocabulary instruction	1.6. content area teachers	1.6. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	1.6. Geometry EOC, Performance Plus					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
<b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.</b>			2.1. Student readiness for increased level of expectations	2.1. Enrollment of the midlevel students into avid honors program to push students from midlevel to high levels of achievement	2.1. Avid Instructor	2.1. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	2.1. Geometry EOC, Performance Plus				
Geometry Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*									
<i>St. Augustine High will increase the percentage of</i>	N/A	N/A									



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<i>students scoring above achievement level by 2%.</i>		2.2. Diverse learning needs and styles	2.2. Use of technology and visual aids in instruction (smart slate, elmo).	2.2. content area teacher	2.2. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	2.2. Geometry EOC, Performance Plus
		2.3. Diverse needs and areas of individual weakness	2.3. Discovery Education progress monitoring program to detect and monitor student weaknesses	2.3. Department Chair	2.3. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	2.3. Geometry EOC, Performance Plus

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
<b>3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b>	<b>Baseline data 2011-2012</b>  N/A					
Geometry Goal #3A:  N/A						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.</b>		3B.1. Large percentage of low achieving subgroups. Not all subgroups are making AYP.	3B.1. Yellow Jacket Sting Mentoring Program	3B.1. Administration/ Deans	3B.1. Classroom Assessment, mentor meetings, Progress Monitoring Tools	3B.1. Geometry EOC, Performance Plus
Geometry Goal #3B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
<b>St. Augustine High will increase to the benchmark of 92% in math for each subgroup.</b>	N/A	<b>92% of White &amp; Black students will make AYP</b>				
		3B.2. Large percentage of low achieving subgroups. Not all subgroups are making AYP.	3B.2. Intensive Math classes for low achieving students to focus on mastery of basic skills	3B.2. Department Chair	3B.2. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	3B.2. Geometry EOC, Performance Plus
		3B.3. Large percentage of low achieving subgroups. Not all subgroups are making AYP.	3B.3. Before/After school tutoring and test prep program	3B.3. Department Chair	3B.3. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	3B.3. Geometry EOC, Performance Plus

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.</b>			3C.1. Language	3C.1. Use of technology and visual aids in instruction (smart slate, elmo).	3C.1. Department Chair	3C.1. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	3C.1. Geometry EOC, Performance Plus	
<b>Geometry Goal #3C:</b>  <i>St. Augustine High will increase to the benchmark of 92% in math for ELL.</i>	<b>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</b>  N/A	<b>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</b>  92% of ELL will make AYP.						
				3C.2. Language	3C.2. Focus on content area vocabulary	3C.2. Department Chair, content area teachers	3C.2. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	3C.2. Geometry EOC, Performance Plus
				3C.3. Language	3C.3. Use of multilingual textbook resources	3C.3. Content Area Teachers	3C.3. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	3C.3. Geometry EOC, Performance Plus
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.</b>			3D.1. Diverse needs and learning styles.	3D.1. Use of technology and visual aids in instruction (smart slate, elmo).	3D.1. Department Chair, Content Area Teachers	3D.1. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	3D.1. Geometry EOC, Performance Plus	
<b>Geometry Goal #3D:</b>  <i>St. Augustine High will increase to the benchmark of 92% in math for SWD.</i>	<b>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</b>  N/A	<b>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</b>  92% of SWD will make AYP						
				3D.2. Lack of confidence and motivation	3D.2. Discovery Education progress monitoring practice test	3D.2. Department Chair, Content Area Teachers	3D.2. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	3D.2. Geometry EOC, Performance Plus
				3D.3. Inability to master skills at rate equal to peers	3D.3. Increased record tracking and progress monitoring kept in a portfolio	3D.3. Department Chair, Content Area Teacher, ESE teachers	3D.3. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	3D.3. Geometry EOC, Performance Plus

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.</b>			3E.1. Low motivation and support	3E.1. Most Valuable Jacket/Punctuality Pays	3E.1. Content Area Teachers, Deans	3E.1. Progress monitoring, conferencing	3E.1. Geometry EOC, Performance Plus
<b>Geometry Goal #3E:</b>  <i>St. Augustine High will increase to the benchmark of 92% in math for ED students.</i>	<b>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</b>  N/A	<b>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</b>  92% of ED students will make AY.					
			3E.2. Low motivation and support	3E.2. Enrollment in AVID Program	3E.2. AVID Instructor and Site team	3E.2. Classroom assessment and progress monitoring tools	3E.2 Geometry EOC, Performance Plus
			3E.3. Low motivation and support	3E.3. After-school EOC prep/tutoring program	3E.3. Department Chair, Content Area Teachers	3E.3. Progress monitoring tools	3E.3. Geometry EOC, Performance Plus

*End of Geometry EOC Goals*

## Mathematics Professional Development

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities</b> Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
FCTM Conference	ALL grade levels (math)	Conference Speakers	Math Department Chair	Fall 2012	Department PLC	Administration, Department Chair

**August 2012**  
**Rule 6A-1.099811**  
**Revised April 29, 2011**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Mathematics Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal: \$0.00</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Tools for math students working advanced problems	Calculators	School Based Budget	\$2,500.00
			<b>Subtotal: \$2,500.00</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Math Literacy	FCTM Conference	School Based Budget	\$1,000.00
AVID teacher training	Teacher workshops	School Based Budget	\$1,500.00
			<b>Subtotal: \$2,500.00</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Identify and provide additional assistance for Black, Economically Disadvantaged, and SWD subgroups to ensure AYP.	Before/After school FCAT/EOC prep and tutoring program	Pending Grant Approval	\$2,500.00
			<b>Subtotal: \$2,500.00</b>
			<b>Total: \$7,500.00</b>

*End of Mathematics Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Science Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.</b>							
<b>Science Goal #1:</b>  <i>St. Augustine High will increase the percentage of students scoring at levels 4, 5, and 6 in science by 2%</i>	<b>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</b> <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<b>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</b> <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>	1.1 Lack of student interest/motivation during actual testing period	1.1 Practice for alternative assessment using curriculum with similar presentation	1.1 I.D. / Self - contained team	1.1 Classroom Assessments, Interest Driven materials, real world application	1.1 Florida Alternate Assessment
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.</b>							
<b>Science Goal #2:</b>  <i>St. Augustine High will increase the percentage of students scoring at level 7 in science by 2%</i>	<b>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</b> <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<b>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</b> <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>	2.1 Lack of student interest/motivation during actual testing period	2.1 Practice for alternative assessment using curriculum with similar presentation	2.1 I.D. / Self - contained team	2.1 Classroom Assessments, Interest Driven materials, real world application	2.1 Florida Alternate Assessment
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

*End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals*

**Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals** *(this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)*

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1.</b>			1.1. Large percentage of low achieving subgroups. Not all subgroups are making AYP.	1.1. Discovery Education progress monitoring practice tests.	1.1. Department Chair	1.1. Progress Monitoring tools, Class assessment	1.1. Biology EOC, Performance Plus
<b>Biology 1 Goal #1:</b>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
St. Augustine High will increase the percentage of students scoring at achievement level by 2%	N/A	N/A					
	1.2. Large percentage of low achieving subgroups. Not all subgroups are making AYP.						
	1.3. Large percentage of low achieving subgroups with high percentage of missing assignments and absences						
	1.4. Large percentage of low achieving subgroups that are struggling readers						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1.</b>			2.1. Student readiness for increased level of expectations	2.1. Enrollment of the midlevel students into avid honors program to push students from midlevel to high levels of achievement.	2.1. Avid Instructor	2.1. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	2.1. Biology EOC, Performance Plus
<b>Biology 1 Goal #2:</b>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
St. Augustine High will increase the percentage of students scoring above achievement level by 2%	N/A	N/A					
	2.2. Diverse learning needs and styles						
	2.3. Time to incorporate higher-level thinking and inquiry activities.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1.</b>			2.2. Diverse learning needs and styles	2.2. Use of technology and visual aids in instruction (smart slate, elmo).	2.2. content area teacher	2.2. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	2.2. Biology EOC, Performance Plus
			2.3. Time to incorporate higher-level thinking and inquiry activities.	2.3. Re-design labs to be more inquiry-based.	2.3. PLC Leader	2.3. Class assessment	2.3. Biology EOC, Performance Plus

**August 2012**  
**Rule 6A-1.099811**  
**Revised April 29, 2011**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		2.4. Access to technology in the classroom to promote inquiry/collaboration-based activities to increase rigor of research	2.4. Provide dedicated computer cart to Science Hall, share among teachers for inquiry/collaboration-based activities.	2.4. Department Chair	2.4. Scores of research paper graded by Science Department research rubric	2.4. Biology EOC, Performance Plus
		2.5. Time to incorporate higher-level thinking and inquiry activities.	2.5. Flip the classroom, requiring students to complete initial reading of content as homework.	2.5. PLC Leader, Content Area Teachers	2.5. Lab, essay, activity rubrics, formative and summative assessments	2.5. Biology EOC, Performance Plus

*End of Biology 1 EOC Goals*



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Science Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Science Conference	Biology teachers	Conference Speakers	Science Department Chair	Fall 2012	Department PLC	Administration/ Department Chair

**Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
AVID teacher training	Teacher workshops	School Based Budget	\$1,500.00
			<b>Subtotal: \$1,500.00</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Identify and provide additional assistance for Black, Economically Disadvantaged, and SWD subgroups to ensure AYP.	Before/After school FCAT/EOC prep and tutoring program	Pending Grant Approval	\$2,500.00
			<b>Subtotal: \$2,500.00</b>

August 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Total: \$4,000.00**

*End of Science Goals*

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

### Writing Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1A. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.</b>			1A.1. Encouraging writing across the curriculum and the use of ethics in writing	1A.1. Collaborative SuCCeSS teams	1A.1. All teachers	1A.1. School-wide prompts/classes	1A.1. Teacher graded essays/Lesson Plans
<b>Writing Goal #1A:</b>  St. Augustine High will increase the percentage of students scoring level and higher in writing by 2%.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	84% (340)	86%					
			1A.2. Encouraging writing across the curriculum and the use of ethics in writing .	1A.2. Implementation of 6 Traits of Writing (English), Collaborative SuCCeSS Teams.	1A.2. Literacy Coach/English teachers/Content Area teachers.	1A.2. Writing Prompts	1A.2. Teacher formative assessments
			1A.3. Encouraging writing across the curriculum and the use of ethics in writing.	1A.3. Holistic essay grading(with collaborative workshop)	1A.3. Literacy Coach and English teachers	1A.3. Classroom assessment	1A.3. Teacher graded essays
			1A.4. Encouraging all students to engage in writing.	1A.4. Implement writing/reflection journals in all standard classes using higher level questioning	1A.4. Dept. Chair	1A.4. Journal checks at least once a quarter. (Science)	1A.4. Quality of journals
			1A.5. Encouraging higher level thinking in content areas to give students confidence in their ability to respond to essays in content areas.	1A.5. Enhance assessments with short answer and essay style questions.	1A.5. PLC Leaders, classroom teachers	1A.5 Progress monitoring and assessment scores	1A.5 Teacher and district summative assessments
			1A.6. Encouraging struggling readers (and writers) to use re-read and other deep-reading strategies.	1A.6. Give students access to different leveled texts to scaffold understanding of difficult concepts.	1A.6. PLC Leaders, Classroom teachers	1A.6. Formative assessments	1A.6. Teacher and district summative assessments
			1A.7. Using content area vocabulary in writing.	1A.7. Weekly journals requiring the use of content area vocabulary in entry	1A.7. Content area teachers	1A.7. Regular journal checks	1A.7. Chapter/unit assessments; Florida Writes!
			1A.8. Lack of critical thinking ability.	1A.8. Collaborative writing assignments using student strengths to support weaknesses	1A.8. Content area teachers	1A.8. Teacher evaluation of writing assignments	1A.8. Chapter/Unit assessment; Florida Writes!
		Organizing thoughts	Utilize graphic organizers to model concept connections	Content area teachers	. Teacher evaluation of assignments	Chapter/unit assessments; Florida Writes!	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.</b>							
<u>Writing Goal #1B:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
St. Augustine High will increase the percentage of students scoring at 4 or higher by 2%.	<b>60% (3)</b>	<b>62%</b>	1B.1 Lack of student interest/motivation during actual testing period	1B.1 Practice for alternative assessment using curriculum with similar presentation	1B.1 I.D. / Self - contained team	1B.1 Classroom Assessments, Interest Driven materials, real world application	1B.1 Florida Alternate Assessment
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Writing Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
The Writers workshop	Substitute	SAC	\$115.00
Holistic Grading	Train teachers for grading Florida Writes papers	CRP	\$1,500.00
			<b>Subtotal: \$1,615.00</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total: \$1,615.00</b>

August 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

---

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

*End of Writing Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals *(required in year 2013-2014)***

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

U.S. History EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.</b>			1.1. Comprehending complex text	1.1. Identified WICOR strategies	1.1. content area teacher	1.1. Teacher evaluation of assignments utilizing WICOR strategies	1.1. American History EOC
U.S. History Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	N/A					
			1.2. Analyzing primary source documents	1.2. APPARTS: Author, Place & Time, Prior knowledge, Audience, Reason, The Main Idea, Significance	1.2. content area teacher	1.2. Teacher evaluation of assignments utilizing APPARTS strategy	1.2. American History EOC
			1.3. Defining content area vocabulary	1.3. Word walls, direct instruction of content area vocabulary	1.3. content area teacher	1.3. Vocabulary quiz or review	1.3. American History EOC
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.</b>			2.1. Student readiness for increased level of expectations	2.1. Enrollment of the midlevel students into avid honors program to push students from midlevel to high levels of achievement	2.1. Avid Instructor	2.1. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	2.1. American History EOC, Performance Plus
U.S. History Goal #2: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	N/A					
			2.2. Diverse learning needs and styles	2.2. Use of technology and visual aids in instruction (smart slate, elmo).	2.2. content area teacher	2.2. Progress Monitoring Tools, Class Assessment	2.2. American History EOC, Performance Plus
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**U.S. History Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**U.S. History Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
AVID teacher training	Teacher workshops	School Based Budget	\$1,500.00
			<b>Subtotal: \$1,500.00</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Identify and provide additional assistance for Black, Economically Disadvantaged, and SWD subgroups to ensure AYP.	Before/After school FCAT/EOC prep and tutoring program	Pending Grant Approval	\$2,500.00
			<b>Subtotal: \$4,000.00</b>

**August 2012**  
**Rule 6A-1.099811**  
**Revised April 29, 2011**



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Total: \$3,500.00**

*End of U.S. History Goals*

**Attendance Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

<b>Attendance Goal(s)</b>		<b>Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance</b>				
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Attendance</b>		1.1. Large population of at risk students	1.1. Yellow Jacket Sting Operation to mentor at risk students	1.1. Administration, Deans	1.1. Attendance data	1.1. Attendance data comparison between 11-12 and 12-13
<b>Attendance Goal #1:</b>  <i>St. Augustine High will increase the attendance rate by 2% and decrease the amount of excessive absences/tardies by 5%.</i>	2012 Current Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*				
	<b>91%</b>	<b>93%</b>				
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)				
	<b>1152</b>	<b>1092</b>				
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)				
<b>89</b>	<b>84</b>					
		1.2. Large population of at risk students	1.2. Monthly Most Valuable Jacket Award	1.2. Deans	1.2. Attendance Data	1.2. Quarterly Attendance reports
		1.3. Large population of at risk students	1.3. Punctuality Pays	1.3. Teachers	1.3. Attendance Data	1.3. Attendance Data

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Attendance Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Punctuality Pays	Teacher Mentoring	Administrative	\$1,000.00
			<b>Subtotal: \$1,000.00</b>
			<b>Total: \$1,000.00</b>

*End of Attendance Goals*

**August 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011**

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

### Suspension Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension				
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Suspension</b>			1.1. Student and teacher participation	1.1. Character Counts Initiative, Sting Mentor Program	1.1. Administration, Deans, Teachers	1.1. Suspension Data	1.1. 11-12/12-13 comparison
Suspension Goal #1:	2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions					
St. Augustine High School will decrease the number of suspensions by 5%.	<b>156</b>	<b>140</b>					
	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School					
	<b>129</b>	<b>122</b>					
	2012 Total Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions					
	<b>571</b>	<b>542</b>					
	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out- of- School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School					
<b>322</b>	<b>306</b>						
			1.2. Student and teacher participation	1.2. Capturing Kids’ Hearts	1.2 Administration, Deans, Teachers.	1.2. Suspension Data	1.2. 11-12/12-13 comparison
			1.3 Student classroom attendance	1.3 Saturday School, Wednesday Detention	1.3 Administration, Deans	1.3 Suspension Data	1.3 11-12/12-13 comparison
			1.4 Student and teacher participation	1.4 Character Counts Initiative, Sting Mentor Program	1.4 Administration, Deans, Teachers	1.4 Suspension Data	1.4 11-12/12-13 comparison
			1.5 Student and teacher participation	1.5 Capturing Kids’ Hearts	1.5 Administration, Deans, Teachers	1.5 Suspension Data	1.5 11-12/12-13 comparison

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Suspension Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
College Visits	Dean’s taking students on College Tours	Administrative	\$1,000.00
			<b>Subtotal: \$1,000.00</b>
			<b>Total: \$1,000.00</b>

*End of Suspension Goals*

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

### Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>1. Dropout Prevention</b>		1.1. Large population of at risk students	1.1. Sting Operation to mentor at risk students, Leadership Workshops	1.1. Administration, Deans	1.1. Attendance Data	1.1. 11-12/12-13 comparison	
<u>Dropout Prevention Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Dropout Rate:*</u>						<u>2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*</u>
	<b>1.3%</b>						<b>1%</b>
<u>2012 Current Graduation Rate:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*</u>						
St. Augustine High School will decrease the percentage of drop out students.	<b>90%</b>	<b>92%</b>	1.2. Large population of students needing credit recovery	1.2. Credit recovery to offer students the ability to get back to grade level	1.2. Credit recovery teacher	1.2. Class passing rates	1.2. PLATO lab
			1.3 Locating truant students	1.3 Home visits	1.3 Administration, Deans	1.3 Attendance Data	1.3 11-12/12-13 comparison
			1.4 Students are unfamiliar with higher education opportunities	1.4 College campus visits and Dress for Success Days	1.4 Administration, Academy teachers, Career Coordinator	1.4 College application numbers	1.4 Student Services Data

### Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Dropout Prevention Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Parent Involvement Goal(s)**

**Upload Option-**For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section.

**Online Template-** For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Parent Involvement</b>			1.1. Parents without Internet access or unaware of program	1.1. Parent Assistant Program enrollment through advertisements	1.1. Volunteer Coordinator, Registrar	1.1. Quarterly progress monitoring	1.1. eSchoolPlus
<b>Parent Involvement Goal #1:</b>	<b>2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:*</b>	<b>2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*</b>					
St. Augustine will increase parent involvement through the implementation of and increase use of identified Programs and communication methods.	<b>10,000 hours</b>	<b>10,500 hours</b>	1.2. Parents receiving items brought home by students.	1.2. Newsletters/school website	1.2. Administration	1.2. Parent contacts	1.2. Parent Needs Assessment Surveys
<i>St. Augustine High will increase their volunteer hours by 5%</i>			1.3 Students receiving calls	1.3. Alert Now	1.3. Administration	1.3. Parent contacts	1.3. Parent Needs Assessment Surveys
			1.4. Parent schedule conflicts	1.4 SAC meetings/Advisory boards/ Booster clubs	1.4 Administration	1.4. Parent contacts	1.4. Parent Needs Assessment Surveys
			1.5. Schedule conflicts	1.5. Orientation/Open House	1.5. Administration	1.5. Parent contacts	1.5 Parent Needs Assessment Survey
			1.6. Parents are unaware of school happenings and events	1.6. Maintain updated website	1.6.Administration, teachers	1.6. Parent involvement data	1.6. Keep N Track

**Parent Involvement Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Parent Involvement Budget**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)*



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)**

<b>STEM Goal(s)</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>STEM Goal #1:</b> St. Augustine High School will increase female and minority recruitment for Aerospace Academy	1.1. Access to middle school classes for recruitment	1.1. Increase enrollment through High School Showcase, Academy Nights, etc.	1.1. Career Specialist, Academy and Cohort Teachers, District Personnel	1.1. Enrollments (Comparisons to previous school year)	1.1. List of enrollments
	1.2. Funding for summer camp	1.2. Provide STEM Summer Camps for middle school students	1.2. Career specialist, Academy and Cohort Teachers, District Personnel	1.2. Enrollment	1.2. Enrollment in summer STEM camp.
	1.3. Student access to off - campus functions during the school day (release time)	1.3. Utilize students to assist in recruitment	1.3. Career Specialist, Academy, and Cohort Teachers	1.3. Logs	1.3. Log of students who assisted and accomplishments
	1.4. Student access to off - campus functions during the school day (release time)	1.4. Provide role models (High School Students) as guest speakers in middle school classes	1.4. Career Specialist, Academy, and Cohort Teachers	1.4. Logs	1.4. Log of role models in middle school classrooms.
<b>Stem Goal #2:</b> St. Augustine High School will increase recruitment for minorities in the Teaching Academy	2.1. Access to middle school classes	2.1. Increase enrollment through High School Showcase, Academy Nights, etc.	2.1. Career Specialist, Academy and Cohort Teachers, District Personnel	2.1. Enrollments (Comparisons to previous school year)	2.1. List of enrollments
	2.2. Funding for summer camp	2.2. Provide STEM Summer Camps for middle school students	2.2. Career specialist, Academy and Cohort Teachers, District Personnel	2.2. Enrollment	2.2. Enrollment in summer STEM camp.
	2.3. Student access to off - campus functions during the school day (release time)	2.3. Utilize students to assist in recruitment	2.3. Career Specialist, Academy, and Cohort Teachers	2.3. Logs	2.3. Log of students who assisted and accomplishments
	2.4. Student access to off - campus functions during the school day (release time)	2.4. Provide role models (High School Students) as guest speakers in middle school classes	2.4. Career Specialist, Academy, and Cohort Teachers	2.4. Logs	2.4. Log of role models in middle school classrooms.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**STEM Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
District Integrated Training	9 - 12	District Office	Academy Teachers	Twice a year	Monitor project based learning activities	Administrative

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of STEM Goal(s)*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
<b>CTE Goal #1:</b>  St Augustine High School will implement project-based learning activities in all academy cohort courses based on Common Core standards.	1.1. Release time for students to visit middle schools.	1.1. Utilize everyone in the recruitment process and get into the middle schools as much as possible.	1.1. Academy students, Academy and Cohort Teachers, Career Specialist, District Personnel	1.1. Recruitment Process	1.1. List of enrollments
	1.2. Limit funding resources	1.2. Explore outside funding sources	1.2. Academy and Cohort Teachers, Career Specialist, District Personnel, Business Partners	1.2. Grant application processes	1.2. Increase in budget
	1.3. Release time for the students and teachers	1.3. Conduct trainings for academy and cohort	1.3. Academy and Cohort Teachers, Career Specialist, District Personnel	1.3. Planning and implementing trainings.	1.3. List of trained participants
	1.4. Time away from classes to plan with business partners.	1.4. Allow Academy and Cohort Teachers to plan	1.4. Academy and Cohort Teachers, Career Specialist, District and School Personnel	1.4. Planning process collaborating with business partners	1.4. Project-Based Learning implemented in the classroom.

**CTE Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
NCAC (National Career Academy Coalition)	ALL	Schultz Center	Academy Teachers	Annually	Review of NCAC standards	Career Specialist

August 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**CTE Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

Technology

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

Professional Development

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

Other

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

**Total:**

*End of CTE Goal(s)*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Additional Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Additional Goal(s)</b>			<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>1. Character Counts Goal</b>			1.1. Student involvement	1.1. Teen Leadership Class-CKH	1.1. Teachers, Admin	1.1. Track discipline referrals for ISS/OSS disrespect	1.1. eSchoolPlus data	
<b>Additional Goal #1:</b>  St. Augustine High School faculty and staff will continue to build and support character in all students. SAHS will decrease the number of referrals for disrespect/defiance by 5%.	2012 Current Level :*  <i>Enter numerical data for current goal in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level :*  <i>Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.</i>						
				1.2. Student involvement	1.2. College Day/Dress for Success	1.2. ALL	1.2. Administration observation	1.2. Administration observation
				1.3. Student absences and getting behind in classwork	1.3. Be sure to greet, welcome, and let students know that they were missed.  Develop a plan of action for identified students so that they feel they have achievable goals. Reassure students and work with them to encourage attendance	1.3. Teacher/school counselor/ parent	1.3. Conferencing	1.3. Attendance for major exams/passing grades

**Additional Goals Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Capturing Kid's Hearts (CKH)	ALL	CKH certified trainers	School-wide	Monthly	Classroom Observations	Administration

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Additional Goal(s) Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
“STING” Program	Incentives, materials	Administrative	\$500.00
Most Valuable Jacket Student Awards	Incentives, materials	Administrative	\$1,000.00
Both faculty and staff will increase character counts efforts and programs	Character Counts Awards Banquet	School-based Budget	\$2,000.00
			<b>Subtotal: \$3,500.00</b>
			<b>Total: \$3,500.00</b>

*End of Additional Goal(s)*



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Final Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
<b>Reading Budget</b>	<b>Total: \$123,450.00</b>
<b>CELLA Budget</b>	<b>Total: \$0.00</b>
<b>Mathematics Budget</b>	<b>Total: \$7,500.00</b>
<b>Science Budget</b>	<b>Total: \$4,000.00</b>
<b>Writing Budget</b>	<b>Total: \$1,615.00</b>
<b>U.S. History Budget</b>	<b>Total: \$4,000.00</b>
<b>Attendance Budget</b>	<b>Total: \$1,000.00</b>
<b>Suspension Budget</b>	<b>Total: \$1,000.00</b>
<b>Dropout Prevention Budget</b>	<b>Total: \$0.00</b>
<b>Parent Involvement Budget</b>	<b>Total: \$0.00</b>
<b>STEM Budget</b>	<b>Total: \$0.00</b>
<b>CTE Budget</b>	<b>Total: \$0.00</b>
<b>Additional Goals</b>	<b>Total: \$3,500.00</b>
<b>Grand Total: \$145,565.00</b>	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Differentiated Accountability**

**School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance**

Please choose the school’s DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under “Default value” header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an “x” in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
<input type="checkbox"/> Priority	<input type="checkbox"/> Focus	<input type="checkbox"/> Prevent

Are you reward school?  Yes  No

(A reward school is any school that has improved their letter grade from the previous year or any A graded school.)

- Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

**School Advisory Council (SAC)**

*SAC Membership Compliance*

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

Yes  No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.
Monthly meetings 2 <sup>nd</sup> Monday of every month

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Professional Development	\$2,500.00